

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,244		03/18/2004	Katsunari Oikawa	TAW-008	7471
959	7590	11/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		FIELD, LLP	WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P		
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109-2127				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	
				DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/804,244	OIKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George P. Wyszomierski	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	iaust 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attack-name/a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/804,244

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-129273 or over the Oikawa et al. <u>Applied Physics Letters</u> article.

Both JP '273 and Oikawa et al. disclose shape memory alloys comprising Co, Ni and Al, and including both a beta phase and a gamma fcc phase; see the English Abstract of JP '273 or page 3290 of Oikawa. Alloy no. 5 in table 1 of JP '273 meets the compositional limitations as presently claimed, and the first full paragraph on page 3292 of Oikawa discloses a specific example that meets these compositional limitations and which contains 7% gamma phase (within the ranges of claims 3 and 4).

The prior art does not disclose the percentage of grain boundaries occupied by gamma phase, and the JP '273 reference does not specify the overall amount by volume of gamma phase in the prior art alloys. However, given that the prior art compositions are substantially the same as those claimed, and appear to be made by substantially similar processes as those of the present invention, it is a reasonable assumption that the crystal structures of the prior art alloys are likewise substantially the same as those of the present invention.

Consequently, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established between the disclosures of JP '273 or Oikawa et al., and the presently claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1742

3. In a response filed August 29, 2006, Applicant suggests that the JP '273 materials may have different mechanical properties and/or microstructure than the materials of the present invention, but provides no probative evidence to this effect. Thus, the rejection based on JP '273 is maintained.

With regard to Oikawa et al., Applicant suggests that the prior art discloses a composition containing 27-32% Co and 30-45% Ni together with aluminum, i.e. a composition different from that recited in the instant claims. The examiner respectfully submits that Applicant has misinterpreted the Abstract of the Oikawa reference. The correct interpretation is that the compositions of Oikawa contain 30-45% Co and 27-32% Al together with nickel, as evidenced by the fact that all specific examples disclosed by Oikawa appear to be in these ranges. In any event, the specific example noted in the first full paragraph of page 3292 of Oikawa meets the presently recited composition ranges. Thus, the rejection based on Oikawa et al. is maintained.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1742

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300. This Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1700

GPW October 31, 2006